Single Bench (1)

(3)

CF 2021

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (C) No. 5024 /2006

PETITIONERS :1) Narayan Patle, S/o. Chaitram, aged about 62 years, Occupation Agriculture, R/o. Amtara, Block Bilha, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)

:2) Sundar Lal S/o. Vishram, aged about 55 years, Occupation agriculture, R/o. Kachhlov, Village Amtara, Block Bilha, Tahsil and Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1) State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary Revenue Department, Mantralaya, DKS Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

: 2) Tahsildar, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)

: 3) Collector, Bilaspur District Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4794/06
Presented by Uma Patel
dated 30/8/06

RECEIVED-COPY
30/8/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
ARCHNA MALVIYA
CG-2073/96
25/8/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

(4)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227

OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(C) 5024 **सन्** 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	19-1-2007 Shri Mahfooj Alam, learned counsel for the petitioners. Shri Parag Kotecha, learned P.L. for the State/respondents. By this petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners are seeking a direction that the respondents should not dispossess the petitioners immediately till the alternative arrangement is made, the respondents should decide the representation of Annexure P/4 and provide shelter under the schemes of the Government. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the dwelling houses of the petitioners have been demolished by the respondent authorities without affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners against the law though the petitioners had paid a fine of Rs. 500/- imposed on them vide Annexure P/1 by the Naib Tehsildar for encroachment over the Government land. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners. Looking to the fact that the dwelling houses of the petitioners already stand demolished by the respondents and further considering the fact that in ejectment proceedings a fine of Rs. 500/- has been imposed upon the petitioners, no interference is called for in the instant writ petition at the instance of the petitioners. If so advised, the petitioners may enforce their civil right, if any, by filing appropriate proceedings before the Civil Court. With the aforesaid observations, the instant petition stands disposed of. Sd/- Dhirednra Mishra Judge	